Management of 
Idiopathic Toe Walking\(^1\) 
in children and young adults ages 2 through 21 years
Publication Date: 15-Feb-2011

**Target Population**

**Inclusions:** Children or young adults:
- with onset of toe walking since independent ambulation
- who toe walk bilaterally
- with habitual or idiopathic toe walking
- ages 2 to 21 years

**Exclusions:** Children or young adults:
- with a central nervous system disorder such as cerebral palsy (CP)
- with Autism/Pervasive Development Disorder (PDD)
- with a myopathy such as Duchenne’s Muscular Dystrophy or Becker’s Muscular Dystrophy
- with a peripheral neuropathy such as Charcot Marie Tooth
- with a neuromuscular disorder such as Spinal Muscular Atrophy
- with Tethered Spinal Cord Syndrome
- with a congenital orthopedic condition such as Talipes Equinovarus (clubfoot)
- with unilateral toe walking
- with sudden onset of toe walking

**Target Users**

- Occupational Therapists
- Physical Therapists
- Physicians

---

Electromyography (EMG) data of the gastrocnemius and of the anterior tibialis during gait of children with ITW has been found to be out of phase, with abnormal co-contraction of these muscles. Early and predominant firing of the gastrocnemius in swing and stance as well as low amplitude anterior tibialis firing during some of stance and swing was noted (Griffin 1977 [4b]). Gait EMG data; however, has not been consistently reliable in differentiating children with ITW from children with CP (Kalen 1986 [4a], Papariello 1985 [4b], Griffin 1977 [4b]). Some children with ITW can spontaneously correct their gait to a heel-toe gait pattern, but it is usually temporary (Crenna 2004 [4a], Sobel 1997 [4b]). Studies have shown persistent gait kinematics and gait EMG abnormalities in children with ITW when they attempt to walk in a heel-toe pattern (Westberry 2008 [4a], Griffin 1977 [4b]).

A positive correlation between language delays (Accardo 1992 [4b]) and toe walking and between learning disabilities (Sala 1999 [5a]) and toe walking has been noted. Anecdotal reports of a potential link between ITW and sensory processing dysfunction (SPD) have not been confirmed (Shulman 1997 [4b], Montgomery 1978 [4b]. Williams 2010 [5]).

Gastrocnemius, soleus and Achilles tendon tightness is acquired through years spent toe walking (Sala 1999 [5a]). Younger children with ITW have less restriction in ankle dorsiflexion (DF) passive range of motion (PROM) compared to older individuals with ITW (DiGiovanni 2002 [4a], Sobel 1997 [4b]). Children who toe walk intermittently have greater ankle DF PROM than children who toe walk exclusively (Sobel 1997 [4b]). Limitation in ankle DF PROM is associated with increased frequency of ankle injuries in children (Tabrizi 2000 [4a]). Decreased ankle DF PROM is correlated with increased footfall, midfoot and/or hindfoot pain or pathology in adulthood (DiGiovanni 2002 [4a], Hill 1995 [4b]). Avoiding these outcomes in adulthood can be achieved by treatment of limited ankle DF in childhood (DiGiovanni 2002 [4a]).

Children with ITW may present with some or all of the following gait deviations: significant ankle plantarflexion (PF) in stance and swing, lack of first rocker (ankle PF immediately after heel strike), lack of second rocker (forward translation of the tibia over the foot in stance with progressive ankle DF), decreased third rocker (push off), premature heel off, out-toeing, knee hyperextension, and increased anterior pelvic tilt (Westberry 2008 [4a], McMullin 2006 [4a], Stott 2004 [4a], Hicks 1988 [4b], Clark 2010 [5a]). Normal loading of the foot and transfer of body weight are absent in toe walking (Clark 2010 [5a]). A classification system has been developed to identify ITW severity by presence of first ankle rocker, timing of third ankle rocker and predominant first ankle moment. This classification system requires motion analysis equipment and force plates, which are not commonly available in the clinic (Alvarez 2007 [3a]).

Foot pronation, which might include significant foot abduction, is the most common form of compensation for an ankle equinus deformity (Hill 1995 [4b], Reimers 1995 [4b], Hicks 1988 [4b]). Older children with a history of toe walking demonstrate excessive external tibial torsion evidenced by an increased positive thigh foot angle (Hicks 1988 [4b]). Out toeing to accommodate the PF contracture may be noted in older children and may account for the reported “outgrowing” of toe walking (Sala 1999 [5a]).

A normal gastrocnemius muscle is largely made up of Type II muscle fibers. Histologically, children with ITW have been shown to have a predominance of Type I muscle fibers in the gastrocnemius, which are tonic, slow-contracting and fatigue resistant. The increase of Type I fibers may be due to adaptive changes from prolonged periods of use or training-induced adaptation (Eastwood 1997 [4a]).

A distinction should be made in the treatment of the child with ITW with a loss of ankle DF and without loss of ankle DF as well as between children who are obligatory toe walkers and those that can walk heel-toe (Taussig 2001 [4b]). The amount of ankle DF PROM may not correlate directly with the amount of ankle DF in gait (Stott 2004 [4a]). Some children with ITW and full ankle DF PROM continue to walk on their toes (Engstrom 2010 [4a], Katz 1984 [4b]). Prevention of a gastrocnemius contracture in children with persistent toe walking through early intervention by a pediatric physical therapist may improve outcomes (Sobel 1997 [4b], Harris 1999 [5]). Education of the parents or caregivers in a HEP to manage this disorder is also paramount (Harris 1999 [5]).

Physical Therapy management of the child with ITW may include: stretching the ankle plantarflexors, strengthening the anterior tibialis and other lower extremity/trunk muscles, taping, augmented auditory feedback, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, manual therapy, ankle joint mobilizations, orthotic intervention, gait training, treadmill training, night splinting, shoe modifications, serial casting and home exercise program (HEP) development (Brunt 2004 [4b], Conrad 1980 [4b], Harris 1999 [5], Caselli 1988 [5], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a], Sala 1999 [5a]). The efficacy of these specific interventions with children with ITW have been studied: augmented
auditory feedback, motor control intervention, serial casting, Botox injections and surgery (Sala 1999 [5a]).
Augmented auditory feedback, provided with a pressure sensitive heel-switch, resulted in improved heel-toe walking in two children with ITW (Conrad 1980 [4b]). The feedback was provided for an hour a day over a mean of 3 months (Conrad 1980 [4b]).

Strengthening of the gastrocnemius to function in an eccentric capacity during second rocker was the emphasis in motor control interventions by Clark (Clark 2010 [5a]). Improvements in ankle DF PROM occurred at the end of treatment episode (18 visits), but heel-toe walking during spontaneous gait did not change significantly (Clark 2010 [5a]).

Serial casting for children with ITW has been shown to be an effective treatment to gain ankle DF PROM as well as to improve gait EMG variables. Specifically, reciprocal contraction of anterior tibialis and gastrocnemius during heel-toe gait was demonstrated by EMG after 6 weeks of serial casting (Griffin 1977 [4b]). In a study by Fox, 66% of children with ITW treated with serial casting had improvement in their ankle DF PROM and gait pattern at a six week follow up. In these subjects, if the soleus contracture did not improve with serial casting, the gait pattern of the child did not improve. Ankle DF PROM with knee flexion may be an important clinical measure after an episode of serial casting and may relate to diminished outcomes in this population (Fox 2006 [4a]). After serial casting for 3 to 6 weeks, children with ITW had increased DF PROM gains and no changes in the amount of gastrocnemius force production except that the most forceful contraction occurred in more ankle dorsiflexion (Brouwer 2000 [4a]). After an episode of serial casting, an ankle foot orthotic (AFO) has been used to maintain range of motion gains and improve the gait pattern. An articulated AFO and/or DF assist ankle foot orthosis can be used to achieve a heel-toe gait pattern (Jacks 2004 [4b], Sala 1999 [5a], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).

Botox injections to the gastrocnemius and soleus muscles in conjunction with physical therapy treatment and/or serial casting have improved outcomes in some children with ITW (Brunt 2004 [4b], Jacks 2004 [4b], Gormley 1997 [4b]). Botox injections must be prescribed by a physician. Some patients require more than one round of Botox injections in conjunction with other conservative treatment measures to achieve a heel-toe gait pattern (Jacks 2004 [4b], Gormley 1997 [4b]).

Surgical intervention for ITW may include lengthening of the Achilles tendon or gastrocnemius or a recession of part or all of the gastrocnemius to significantly improve ankle DF PROM (Hemo 2006 [4a]). Surgery, typically reserved for older children whose ITW has not resolved with conservative treatment, might improve gait kinematics and ankle range of motion (Hemo 2006 [4a]). Toe walking can recur after intervention, including after surgical intervention (Stricker 1998 [4b]).

Key Recommendations:
- Early identification and treatment of children with ITW is needed to prevent adaptive shortening of the gastrocnemius and the development of persistent abnormalities in gait and balance.
- The efficacy of conservative treatment of children with ITW is dependent on the amount of gastrocnemius and soleus contracture, the percentage of time spent toe walking and the age of the child at initial evaluation.
- Older children are more likely to have a significant gastrocnemius contracture and might not respond with equal success to conservative treatment measures as a younger child with ITW.
- Ankle DF PROM may not correlate with the amount of ankle DF in gait. Use of articulated AFOs to encourage heel strike, and normal first, second and third rocker is recommended in children who continue to toe walk despite having adequate ankle DF PROM.
- After weaning the child from use of the articulated AFO, a foot orthotic may be necessary to improve foot alignment in gait and to prevent recurrence of gastrocnemius and/or soleus contracture.
- Botox injections to the gastrocnemius and soleus may improve ankle DF PROM and gait kinematics and may be used in conjunction with physical therapy treatment, serial casting and/or AFOs.
- Surgical treatment is typically reserved for older children with significant ankle equinus who have not improved after conservative measures.

The objectives of this guideline are to:
- provide optimal skilled care to patients
- promote appropriate referrals
- improve functional outcomes
- decrease unwarranted variation in care
- improve patient/family satisfaction
- decrease/delay the need for invasive procedures
**Expected Outcomes:**

- PROM of ankle DF at least 10 degrees with knee extended measured in subtalar neutral (STN) \((\text{Tabrizi } 2000 \ [4a], \text{ Local Consensus } [5]).\)

- Heel strike 75% of the time or greater during spontaneous gait without AFOs by parent report and/or clinician observation (\text{Local Consensus } [5]).

**Note:** Patient may need foot orthotics to improve foot alignment during gait and prevent recurrence of gastrocnemius and/or soleus contracture after resolution of toe walking (\text{Local Consensus } [5]).

- Gross motor and balance skills within age appropriate limits (\text{Local Consensus } [5]).

**Risks and Benefits**

**Risks:**

- Use of ankle foot orthotics, night splints and/or serial casts increase risk for changes in skin integrity (\text{Stott } 2004 \ [4a], \text{ Gourdine-Shaw } 2010 \ [5a]).

- There is a risk of over lengthening and functionally weakening the gastrocnemius with surgery to correct ITW (\text{Hemo } 2006 \ [4a], \text{ Hill } 1995 \ [4b], \text{ Katz } 1984 \ [4b]).

- There are inherent risks associated with surgery and with any invasive procedure, such as Botox injections (\text{Hemo } 2006 \ [4a], \text{ Gormley } 1997 \ [4b], \text{ Katz } 1984 \ [4b]).

**Benefits:**

- Early identification and treatment of children with ITW may decrease potential for loss of ankle PROM, and improve development of mature gait (\text{Brunt } 2004 \ [4b], \text{ Local Consensus } [5]).

- Early identification and conservative management of children with ITW may result in decreased necessity of more invasive treatments such as serial casting, Botox injections or surgery in later childhood (\text{Katz } 1984 \ [4b], \text{ Local Consensus } [5]).

- Avoiding pathological outcomes in adulthood can be achieved by treatment of limited ankle DF in childhood (\text{DiGiovanni } 2002 \ [4a]).

**Guideline Recommendations**

**Assessment (see Appendix 1: Screening Algorithm)**

1. It is recommended that children 2 years of age or older who toe walk are referred to physical therapy (\text{Burnett } 1971 \ [4b], \text{ Sutherland } 1980 \ [5], \text{ Tidwell } 1999 \ [5a]).

2. It is recommended that a comprehensive Physical Therapy Examination be completed, including the components named in table 1: (\text{Williams } 2010 \ [4a], \text{ AmericanPhysicalTherapyAssociation } 2003 \ [5]).

**Table 1: Components of physical therapy examination history**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>History</th>
<th>Pain Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent report of history</td>
<td>- Obtain birth history (\text{Hicks } 1988 \ [4b])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Obtain medical hx (\text{AmericanPhysicalTherapyAssociation } 2003 \ [5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Obtain developmental hx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Gross Motor (GM) skills (\text{Furrer } 1982 \ [4b], \text{ Clark } 2010 \ [5a])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Determine balance concerns (\text{Sobel } 1997 \ [4b])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Onset of toe walking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family hx of toe walking and/or of medical conditions associated with toe walking (\text{Williams } 2010 \ [4a], \text{ Katz } 1984 \ [4b], \text{ Sala } 1999 \ [5a])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review current and past therapeutic interventions for ITW: i.e. PT, OT, Orthopedics, Podiatrist, Neurologist, PM&amp;R (\text{Hill } 1995 \ [4b], \text{ AmericanPhysicalTherapyAssociation } 2003 \ [5])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Systems Review**

- Pain Assessment
  - Utilize appropriate pain scale
  - Localize pain
  - What improves/worsens pain? (\text{Sobel } 1997 \ [4b], \text{ Clark } 2010 \ [5a])

**Integument**

- Presence of calluses, bunions, or redness on feet

**Speech and language screen**

- Communication subsection of Ages and Stages Questionnaire (for ages: 4 months to 60 months) if indicated (\text{Accardo } 1992 \ [4b])

**Sensory processing screen**

- Short Sensory Profile (for ages 3 years to 10 years 11 months) (\text{Montgomery } 1978 \ [4b]) by first treatment visit

**Neurological exam**

- Assess muscle tone
  - Modified Ashworth (ankle plantarflexors & knee flexors)
  - Clonus (\text{Brouwer } 2000 \ [4a], \text{ Rose } 1999 \ [4a])

**Musculoskeletal exam**

- Ankle DF PROM in STN with knee flexed and extended (\text{Brouwer } 2000 \ [4a], \text{ Rose } 1999 \ [4a], \text{ Hill } 1995 \ [4b], \text{ Caselli } 1988 \ [5], \text{ Local Consensus } [5]).
Screenings and recommended referrals to other disciplines (Shulman 1997 [4b], Caselli 1988 [5]).

3. It is recommended that a recommendation for referral to the appropriate specialist be made to the primary care provider if:

- sensory processing dysfunction is reported or observed (Occupational Therapy) (Montgomery 1978 [4b])

**Note 1:** Use of the Short Sensory Profile by first treatment visit (Local Consensus [5]).

- speech and language delay is reported or observed (Speech Language Pathology) (Accardo 1992 [4b]).

Note 1: Use of the Communication subsection of the Ages and Stages Questionnaire if indicated (Local Consensus [5]).

- Signs/symptoms of a central or peripheral nervous system disorder, a neuromuscular disorder or a myopathy are noted (PM&R or Neurology) (Rose 1999 [4a], Hicks 1988 [4b], Harris 1999 [5], Caselli 1988 [5])

- presence of significant structural equinus or congenital orthopedic condition (Orthopedics) (Hemo 2006 [4a], Caselli 1988 [5], Local Consensus [5])

- child does not achieve 10 degrees of ankle DF PROM with knee extended despite conservative therapeutic interventions (Orthopedics and/or PM&R) (Local Consensus [5])

4. It is recommended that physical therapy intervention be initiated when an individual exhibits any of the following:

- limitations in ankle DF PROM or AROM (Brouwer 2000 [4a], Rose 1999 [4a], Hill 1995 [4b])

- limitations in ankle DF strength (Hemo 2006 [4a], Brouwer 2000 [4a])

- gait abnormalities (Rose 1999 [4a], Griffin 1977 [4b])

- decreased balance (Local Consensus [5])

**Treatment Recommendations**

(See Appendix 2: Intervention and Treatment Frequency algorithm)

**Overall considerations:**

**Initial Treatment Visit:**

5. It is recommended that the initial treatment of ITW include:

- **Review:** HEP given at PT evaluation (Local Consensus [5])

- **Reassess/assess:**

  - DF PROM with knee flexed and extended, measured in STN (Brouwer 2000 [4a], Rose 1999 [4a], Hill 1995 [4b])

  - gait (Mackey 2003 [4a], Caselli 1988 [5])

  - percent of time spent toe walking at home (document with shoes or barefoot) (Local Consensus [5], Clark 2010 [5a])

  - gross motor skill screen to determine if standardized testing is appropriate (Shulman 1997 [4b], Furrer 1982 [4b])

  - Initiate: orthotics or serial casting (see Appendix 2) (Local Consensus [5], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a]).

  - Provide instruction: gastrocnemius and soleus stretch; trunk and/or LE strengthening (Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).
Provide education: etiology of ITW, treatment plan and goals (Local Consensus [5])

Note: Education to include:

- impact of decreased ankle PROM including potential for foot pain or injury (DiGiovanni 2002 [4a], Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a])
- muscle length needed for age appropriate gait pattern (Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a])
- changes in muscle composition and function due to toe walking (Sobel 1997 [4b])
- motor learning process to attain mature gait pattern (Sutherland 1980 [5], Clark 2010 [5a])
- discussion with options of treatment (such as night splinting versus serial casting) (Fox 2006 [4a], Brouwer 2000 [4a], Griffin 1977 [4b])

Subsequent Visits: Every visit or after serial casting episode

6. It is recommended that all subsequent visits include:
   - Re-assess:
     - DF PROM with knee flexed and extended, measured in STN and DF AROM (Brouwer 2000 [4a], Rose 1999 [4a], Hill 1995 [4b])
     - gait (Caselli 1988 [5])
     - Perform Observational Gait Scale when positive or negative changes occur in gait (Mackey 2003 [4a])
     - report of percent of time spent heel-toe walking during spontaneous gait (with shoes or barefoot) (Clark 2010 [5a])
   - Review/modify HEP (parent demonstration) (Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Tidwell 1999 [5a])
   - PT interventions may include: stretching of the gastrocnemius and soleus and other trunk/LE muscles, trunk/LE strengthening (including possible taping or NMES), manual therapy (including joint mobilizations), balance and coordination training, gait/treadmill training (including augmented auditory feedback), orthotic intervention and development of a HEP. (Westberry 2008 [4a], Hemo 2006 [4a], Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Jacks 2004 [4b], Sobel 1997 [4b], Hill 1995 [4b], Katz 1984 [4b], Conrad 1980 [4b], Caselli 1988 [5], Local Consensus [5], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).
   - Standardized testing of gross motor skills if indicated (Furrer 1982 [4b], Clark 2010 [5a], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a]).
   - PT frequency may be increased at any point due to difficulty with HEP or PT treatment or decreased progress towards goals. PT treatment frequency may be decreased at any point due to accelerated progress towards goals and/or independence with HEP (Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a]).

(American Physical Therapy Association 2003 [5])

Frequency and Progression of Intervention:

Individuals with less than or equal to 0 degrees ankle DF PROM with knee extended measured in STN:

7. It is recommended that a recommendation for serial casting be made to the primary care provider. Therapy intervention to focus on serial casting (with or without Botox) on a weekly basis. (Fox 2006 [4a], Brouwer 2000 [4a], Griffin 1977 [4b], Local Consensus [5], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).

Goal is to increase ankle DF with knee extended (measured in STN) to greater than or equal to 10 degrees.

Note 1: Botox in conjunction with serial casting may improve outcomes (Jacks 2004 [4b], Gormley 1997 [4b]).

Note 2: Serial casting to be conducted according to the companion guideline: Evidence-based Care Guideline for Serial Casting of the Lower Extremity (Woosley 2007 [5]).

Note 3: Knee immobilizers may be worn in conjunction with serial casting if tolerated by client to improve gastrocnemius length gains (Local Consensus [5]).

8. It is recommended that consideration of a referral to Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (PM&R) for possible Botox injections or Orthopedics for potential surgical intervention when PROM continues to be less than or equal to neutral following serial casting (Hemo 2006 [4a], Jacks 2004 [4b], Cottalorda 2000 [4b], Gormley 1997 [4b], Caselli 1988 [5], Local Consensus [5]).

Individuals with 0 to 5 degrees of ankle DF PROM with knee extended measured in STN:

9. It is recommended that weekly therapy intervention focus on night splinting. Additional PT interventions may include: stretching gastrocnemius and soleus, trunk & LE
strengthening, manual therapy, gait/treadmill training, balance training, possible orthotic intervention, and/or HEP (Tabrizi 2000 [4a], American Physical Therapy Association 2003 [5], Local Consensus [5]).

Goals are to increase ankle DF with knee extended to greater than or equal to 10 degrees measured in STN (Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a]) and to decrease the reported frequency of toe walking by individual or caregiver (Local Consensus [5], Clark 2010 [5a]).

10. It is recommended that night splinting be initiated (Local Consensus [5]).

Note: Night splinting to be attempted for 4 to 6 months (Local Consensus [5]).

11. It is recommended that if ankle DF PROM with knee extended continues to be less than or equal to 5 degrees after 4 to 6 months of night splinting, serial casting with or without Botox is indicated on a weekly basis (Local Consensus [5]).

Goal is to increase ankle DF PROM with knee extended, measured in STN to greater than or equal to 10 degrees (Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a]).

Note 1: Consultation with the referring physician regarding initiation of serial casting (Local Consensus [5]).

Note 2: Serial casting to be conducted according to the companion guideline: Evidence-based Care Guideline for Serial Casting of the Lower Extremity (Woosley 2007 [5], Local Consensus [5]).

Note 3: Knee immobilizers may be worn in conjunction with serial casting or night splinting if tolerated by client to improve gastrocnemius lengthening (Local Consensus [5]).

Individuals with 5 to 10 degrees of ankle DF PROM with knee extended measured in STN:

12. It is recommended that every other week weekly PT intervention focus on articulated AFO, possible night splint, stretching, trunk/LE strengthening, manual therapy, gait/treadmill training, balance training, and HEP development (Hemo 2006 [4a], Stott 2004 [4a], Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Jacks 2004 [4b], Stricker 1998 [4b], Gormley 1997 [4b], Sobel 1997 [4b], Hill 1995 [4b], Katz 1984 [4b], Caselli 1988 [5], Local Consensus [5], Gourdine-Shaw 2010 [5a], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).

Goals of intervention are to:
- increase ankle DF range of motion with knee extended to greater than 10 degrees (Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Sutherland 1980 [5], Tidwell 1999 [5a])
- increase heel toe gait pattern with or without AFOs (Caselli 1988 [5], Local Consensus [5])
- demonstrate second rocker during stance phase of gait (Local Consensus [5], Clark 2010 [5a])
- improve higher level balance skills (Local Consensus [5])

13. It is recommended that after 4 to 6 months without improvement in PROM or gait, consider increasing frequency of treatment or referral to PM&R. (Engstrom 2010 [4a], Brunt 2004 [4b], Jacks 2004 [4b], Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).

Note: Communication with primary care provider regarding referral to PM&R. (local consensus)

14. It is recommended that after 12 months of PT intervention, reassessment of progress toward goals be completed. When goals are met discharge is indicated. When patient/family demonstrates non-compliance with HEP or PT treatment, discharge may be indicated. When individual is not making progress toward goals or having difficulty with HEP or PT treatment, increased frequency of treatment may be indicated. When patient continues to progress towards goals, continue PT plan of care. (Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a]).

Note: Need for articulated AFOs, foot orthotics, night splints and/or HEP should be established prior to discharge (Local Consensus [5]).

Note 2: Periodic follow-up by PT may be required for orthotics (local consensus).

Individuals with greater than 10 degrees in ankle DF PROM with knee extended measured in STN:

15. It is recommended that if the individual demonstrates heel-toe walking greater than 75 % of the time during spontaneous gait that he/she is discharged with HEP (Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).

Note: Foot orthotics may be needed to provide neutral food alignment and prevent recurrence of ankle plantarflexor contracture (Local Consensus [5]).

16. It is recommended that when an individual performs heel-toe walking less than 75 % of the time physical therapy continue on a every other
week basis for 4 to 6 months. Treatment focus may include: daytime articulated AFO’s, night splinting, stretching, trunk/LE strengthening, manual therapy, gait/treadmill training, balance training, and HEP (Hemo 2006 [4a], Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Jacks 2004 [4b], Sobel 1997 [4b], Hill 1995 [4b], Katz 1984 [4b], Caselli 1988 [5], Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).

Goals of intervention are to:

- maintain or increase ankle DF PROM with knee extended measured in STN to at least 10 degrees (Tabrizi 2000 [4a])
- improve heel-toe ambulation frequency to 75% of spontaneous gait as reported by parent/caregiver (Local Consensus [5])
- improvement in OGS score (Stott 2004 [4a])
- increase heel toe gait pattern when not wearing AFO (Local Consensus [5])

Note: Patient may need foot orthotics to improve foot alignment during gait and prevent recurrence of gastrocnemius and/or soleus contracture (Local Consensus [5]).

17. It is recommended that after 4 to 6 months without improvement in heel-toe frequency during spontaneous gait, referral to PM&R be considered. Continue to provide plan of care while awaiting specialty consult (Engstrom 2010 [4a], Brunt 2004 [4b], Jacks 2004 [4b], Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a], Tidwell 1999 [5a]).

Note: Communicate with primary provider regarding referral to PM&R (local consensus).

18. It is recommended that after 12 months of total PT intervention, reassess progress toward goals. Continue PT plan of care when patient continues to make progress towards goals. When pt has reached a plateau towards goals, it is recommended that patient be referred to PM&R. Discharge when goals are met or when individual/caregiver is noncompliant with HEP or PT treatment (Engstrom 2010 [4a], Brunt 2004 [4b], Jacks 2004 [4b], Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a]).

Note: Communicate with primary care provider regarding referral to PM&R if indicated (Local Consensus [5]).

Note: Patient may need foot orthotics to improve foot alignment during gait and prevent recurrence of gastrocnemius and/or soleus contracture (Local Consensus [5]).

19. PT frequency may be increased at any point due to difficulty with HEP or PT treatment or decreased progress towards goals. PT treatment frequency may be decreased at any point due to accelerated progress towards goals and/or independence with HEP.

Discharge from Therapy

20. It is recommended that a child be discharged from therapy when the child/caregiver is noncompliant with PT treatment or HEP or when the following therapy goals have been met (Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a]):

- ankle DF PROM greater than or equal to 10 degrees with knee extended, measured in STN (McMulkin 2006 [4a], Tabrizi 2000 [4a], Sutherland 1980 [5], Tidwell 1999 [5a])
- heel-toe ambulation greater than 75% of spontaneous gait and/or parent/caregiver satisfaction (Bailes 2008 [5a])
- improvement in OGS score (Local Consensus [5])
- independence in home exercise program (Local Consensus [5])
- maximization of gross motor skills (Local Consensus [5], Clark 2010 [5a])

21. It is recommended that children with persistent toe walking due to lack of progress with above interventions or lack of compliance discontinue physical therapy with instruction to continue ankle plantar flexor stretches, use of AFOs or foot orthotics and/or night splints (Local Consensus [5], Bailes 2008 [5a]).

Note: PT intervention may be needed on a periodic basis to manage orthotic needs (local consensus).

22. It is recommended that parents be instructed that plateaus in ankle passive or active ROM and/or regression to toe walking may occur during times of:

- growth spurt
- anxiety
- fatigue/Illness
- lack of follow through at home

(Local Consensus [5])

During these instances if no improvements are seen after resuming HEP over 4 weeks, therapy reassessment may be indicated (Local Consensus [5]).
Future Research Agenda

Suggestions for further research in this area include:

- What are the most effective stretching techniques with ITW?

- In children with ITW who are being treated with serial casts or night ankle splints, does wearing knee immobilizers improve gastrocnemius length more quickly or effectively than not wearing knee immobilizers?

- In children with ITW, how does the development of balance and higher level gross motor skills differ from typically developing children?

- In children with ITW, what is the amount of time with conservative treatment needed to resolve toe walking and/or contractures of the ankle plantar flexors?

- In children with ITW and full ankle PROM, what length of time (months) in day time articulated AFOs is needed to develop a heel strike without AFO?

- In children with ITW who have 10 degrees or greater of ankle dorsiflexion and heel-toe gait 75% of the time, what is the effect of foot orthotics on maintaining heel strike and ankle PROM?

- What is the reliability and/or validity of the OGS with children with ITW?

- In adults who were diagnosed with ITW as a child, what is the incidence of foot, ankle or knee arthritis compared to the average adult population?
Appendix 1: Screening Algorithm (American Physical Therapy Association 2003 [5])

Referral to PT for Toe Walking

Patient evaluation

Does pt have sudden onset of toe walking or unilateral toe walking?

Yes

Refer to Neurology or Orthopedics

No

Does pt demonstrate signs/symptoms of Autism/PPD?

Yes

Refer to Developmental Pediatrician

No

Does pt demonstrate signs of a central or peripheral nervous system disorder, a neuromuscular disorder or of a myopathy?

Yes

Refer to Neurology or PM&R

No

Does pt have a significant structural equinus or congenital orthopedic condition?

Yes

Refer to Orthopedics

No

Does patient demonstrate limitations in ankle dorsiflexion PROM, strength, gait abnormalities and/or decreased balance?

Yes

Child is eligible for ITW Care Guideline

No

Physical Therapy intervention is not indicated
Appendix 2: Intervention and Treatment Algorithm

**Referral to PT for Toe Walking**

**Patient evaluation**

Does pt have ≤ neutral (0) degrees ankle DF PROM with knee extended (in STN)?

Yes

**PT Intervention**

**Frequency:** Weekly for 4 to 6 weeks

**Focus:** Serial Casting (w or w/o Botox)

Does ankle DF PROM with knee extended continue to be ≤ to neutral?

Yes

**Recommend referral to PM&R for possible Botox with 2nd round of serial casting or Orthopedics for possible surgical intervention.**

No

**Initiate serial casting process. (Refer to: Evidence-based Care Guideline for Serial Casting of the Lower Extremity)**

No

Does pt have neutral (0) to 5 degrees ankle DF PROM with knee extended (in STN)?

Yes

**PT Intervention**

**Frequency:** Weekly for 4 to 6 months.

**Focus:** night splinting, stretching, strengthening, manual therapy, joint mobilizations, gait/treadmill training, balance training and HEP.

Does ankle DF PROM with knee extended continue to be ≤ 5 degrees?

Yes

Consider referral to PM&R for possible Botox injections. Continue plan of care while awaiting specialty consults.

**Frequency:** Every other week

**Focus:** articulated AFO, possible night splint, stretching, strengthening, manual therapy, gait/treadmill training, balance training and HEP.

Reassess after 12 months of total PT intervention.

No

Does pt have 5 to 10 degrees ankle DF PROM with knee extended (in STN)?

Yes

**PT Intervention**

**Frequency:** Every other week to weekly for 4 to 6 months.

**Focus:** articulated AFO, possible night splint, stretching, strengthening, manual therapy, joint mobilizations, gait/treadmill training, balance training and HEP.

Does ankle DF PROM with knee extended continue to be < 10 degrees?

Yes

No

No

No

Pt has ≥ 10° ankle DF with knee extended. Is pt walking heel-toe at least 75% of the time?

Yes

Discharge from PT services with HEP and orthotics as needed. May need periodic follow up by PT regarding orthotics.

No
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**PT Intervention**

**Frequency:** Every other week to weekly for 4 to 6 months.

**Focus:** articulated AFO, possible night splint stretching, strengthening, manual therapy joint mobilizations, gait/treadmill training, balance training and HEP.

**Is pt walking heel-toe at least 75% of the time?**

- **Yes**
  - Discharge from PT services with HEP and orthotics as needed. May need periodic follow up by PT regarding orthotics.

- **No**
  - Consider referral to PM&R. Continue plan of care while awaiting specialty consults.

  **Frequency:** Every other week to monthly

  **Focus:** articulated AFO, possible night splint, stretching, strengthening, manual therapy, joint mobilizations, gait/treadmill training, balance training and HEP.

  **Reassess after 12 months**

**PT Rx frequency may be increased at any point due to: difficulty with HEP or PT treatment or decreased progress towards goals.**

**PT Rx frequency may be decreased at any point due to accelerated progress towards goals and/or independence with HEP.**

---

**Appendix 2 (continued)**

Does pt have 10° ankle DF knee extended and amb heel-toe 75% of the time w/o AFOs?

- **Yes**
  - Discharge from PT services with HEP and orthotics as needed. May need periodic follow up by PT regarding orthotics.

- **No**
  - If patient demonstrates non-compliance with HEP or PT treatment, discharge from PT with HEP and orthotics as appropriate.

  If patient has not met goals, but continues to progress, consider referral to PM&R and/or Orthopedics. Continue plan of care while awaiting specialty consults.

  After 12 months of conservative treatment, children with ITW are no longer appropriate for ITW Algorithm, but may continue to be followed by PT.
Appendix 3: Initial Clinical Questions to guide search and selection of evidence

**PICO Questions**

1. In children 2 to 21 years with a primary diagnosis of ITW, what evaluation findings should pediatric physical therapists use to differentiate ITW from other primary diagnoses that may present with toe walking (such as Autism, Cerebral Palsy, Duchene’s Muscular Dystrophy) to determine appropriate treatment plan and/or make appropriate referrals to other health care practitioners?

2. In children with a primary diagnosis of ITW, what gait characteristics differentiate ITW from other primary diagnoses that may demonstrate toe walking (such as Cerebral Palsy) in order for pediatric physical therapists to determine appropriate treatment plan(s) and/or outcome measurements?

3. In children aged 2 to 21 years, what gait parameters indicate an immature walking pattern versus a mature/adult pattern?

4. In patients with a primary diagnosis of ITW, is prolonged stretching as effective as night splinting in improving ankle PROM, ambulation, and/or balance parameters?

5. In patients with a primary diagnosis of ITW, is serial casting more effective than no serial casting in improving ankle PROM, ambulation and/or balance parameters?

6. In patients with a primary diagnosis of ITW, is serial casting with Botox injections more effective in improving ankle PROM, ambulation, and/or balance parameters?

7. In patients with a primary diagnosis of ITW, is strengthening the anterior tibialis more effective than the gastrocnemius in improving ankle PROM, ambulation and/or balance parameters?
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Development Process

The process by which this guideline was developed is documented in the Guideline Development Process Manual; a Team Binder maintains minutes and other relevant development materials. The recommendations contained in this guideline were formulated by an interdisciplinary working group which performed systematic and critical literature reviews, using the grading scale that follows, and examined current local clinical practices.

To select evidence for critical appraisal by the group for this guideline, the Medline, Cinahl, Google Scholar and the Cochrane databases were searched for dates of January 1948 to August 2010 to generate an unrefined, “combined evidence” database using a search strategy focused on answering clinical questions relevant to ITW (see Appendix 3) and employing a combination of Boolean searching on human-indexed thesaurus terms (MeSH headings using an OVID Medline interface) and “natural language” searching on searching on words in the title, abstract, and indexing terms. The citations were reduced by: eliminating duplicates, review articles, non-English articles, and adult articles. The resulting abstracts were reviewed by a methodologist to eliminate low quality and irrelevant citations. During the course of the guideline development, additional clinical questions were generated and subjected to the search process, and some relevant review articles were identified.

Note: Full tables of evidence grading system available in separate document:

- Table of Evidence Levels of Individual Studies by Domain, Study Design, & Quality (abbreviated table below)
- Grading a Body of Evidence to Answer a Clinical Question
- Judging the Strength of a Recommendation (abbreviated table below)

Table of Evidence Levels (see note above)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality level</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a† or 1b†</td>
<td>Systematic review, meta-analysis, or meta-synthesis of multiple studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a or 2b</td>
<td>Best study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a or 3b</td>
<td>Fair study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a or 4b</td>
<td>Weak study design for domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Other: General review, expert opinion, case report, consensus report, or guideline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†a = good quality study; b = lesser quality study
Table of Recommendation Strength (see note above)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Strongly recommended”</td>
<td>There is consensus that benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens (or visa-versa for negative recommendations).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Recommended”</td>
<td>There is consensus that benefits are closely balanced with risks and burdens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No recommendation made</td>
<td>There is lack of consensus to direct development of a recommendation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dimensions: In determining the strength of a recommendation, the development group makes a considered judgment in a consensus process that incorporates critically appraised evidence, clinical experience, and other dimensions as listed below.

1. Grade of the Body of Evidence (see note above)
2. Safety / Harm
3. Health benefit to patient (direct benefit)
4. Burden to patient of adherence to recommendation (cost, hassle, discomfort, pain, motivation, ability to adhere, time)
5. Cost-effectiveness to healthcare system (balance of cost / savings of resources, staff time, and supplies based on published studies or onsite analysis)
6. Directness (the extent to which the body of evidence directly answers the clinical question [population/problem, intervention, comparison, outcome])
7. Impact on morbidity/mortality or quality of life

Tools to assist in the effective dissemination and implementation of the guideline may be available online at http://www.cincinnatichildrens.org/svc/alpha/h/health-policy/ev-based/default.htm. Once the guideline has been in place for five years, the development team reconvenes to explore the continued validity of the guideline. This phase can be initiated at any point that evidence indicates a critical change is needed.

Recommendations have been formulated by a consensus process directed by best evidence, patient and family preference and clinical expertise. During formulation of these recommendations, the team members have remained cognizant of controversies and disagreements over the management of these patients. They have tried to resolve controversial issues by consensus where possible, and when not possible, to offer optional approaches to care in the form of information that includes best supporting evidence of efficacy for alternative choices.

The guideline has been reviewed and approved by clinical experts not involved in the development process, distributed to senior management, and other parties as appropriate to their intended purposes.

The guideline was developed without external funding. All Team Members and Clinical Effectiveness support staff listed have declared whether they have any conflict of interest and none were identified.

Copies of this Evidence-based Care Guideline (EBCG) and any available implementation tools are available online and may be distributed by any organization for the global purpose of improving child health outcomes. Website address: http://www.cincinnatichildrens.org/svc/alpha/h/health-policy/ev-based/default.htm. Examples of approved uses of the EBCG include the following:

- hyperlinks to the CCHMC website may be placed on the organization’s website;
- the EBCG may be adopted or adapted for use within the organization, provided that CCHMC receives appropriate attribution on all written or electronic documents; and
- copies may be provided to patients and the clinicians who manage their care.

Notification of CCHMC at HPCEInfo@cchmc.org for any EBCG, or its companion documents, adopted, adapted, implemented or hyperlinked by the organization is appreciated.

NOTE: These recommendations result from review of literature and practices current at the time of their formulations. This guideline does not preclude using care modalities proven efficacious in studies published subsequent to the current revision of this document. This document is not intended to impose standards of care preventing selective variances from the recommendations to meet the specific and unique requirements of individual patients. Adherence to this guideline is voluntary. The physician in light of the individual circumstances presented by the patient must make the ultimate judgment regarding the priority of any specific procedure.

For more information about this guideline, its supporting evidences and the guideline development process, contact the Division of Occupational Therapy and Physical Therapy Office at: 513-636-4651.
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